

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/679,769	MCCLAIN, JAMES S.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Albert H. Cutler	2622	

**All Participants:**

(1) Albert H. Cutler.

(2) Mark Klodfelter (Reg. 34,564).

**Status of Application: N/A**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 December 2007

**Time:** 3PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed an amendment in which "light bolt" would be changed to "lag bolt". Mr. Kodfelter agreed to said proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

N. Vu

NGOC-YEN VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)